Can the Trucking Industry Benefit From Distance-Based Fees?

Ferrol O. Robinson, Research Fellow David Coyle, Research Assistant University of Minnesota

Presented by: Kenneth Buckeye Minnesota Department of Transportation

Third Annual Mileage-Based User Fee Symposium

June 2011



Presentation Outline

- Funding Problem
- Research Question and Assumptions
- Industry Objections/Concern
- German Experience
- Industry Related Issues
- Next Steps





The Transportation Funding Problem

- Fuel taxes are insufficient to keep federal HTF afloat: \$51.7 billion transferred from general funds and ARRA (2008-10)
- Reasons for deficit:
 - Using more fuel efficient vehicles; paying less in fuel taxes to travel
 - Growth in alternative fuel and electric vehicles
 - Federal fuel tax not raised since 1993
 - Decline in purchasing power due to inflation
- <u>Conclusion</u>: Fuel tax is neither adequate nor sustainable now, and it is expected to worsen in the future
- Optional Approach: Mileage-based pricing





Basic Research Question Will benefits of mileage-based pricing to the trucking industry exceed the charges?

Study Premise

- It is estimated that transportation costs account for 40 percent of freight logistics costs
- To the extent that distance-based pricing can reduce transportation costs, the industry will experience measurable cost benefits





Assumptions

- Distance-based pricing: A stand-in for charges by weight, distance, time of day, emissions, or fuel efficiency, since fees can vary—if desired—by each of these
- It's anticipated that we are 10-15 years away from fully implementing distance-based pricing.
- In the interim, distance-based pricing would coexist with fuel taxes





Underlying Pricing Principle: User Pays

- The cost of road & bridge construction/maintenance is a function of road use (VMT) and weight (per axle & GVW)
- Vehicles of similar VMT and weight, regardless of fuel type or consumption, cause the same road damage as those that use more fuel
- Heavy trucks cause more damage to roads and bridges than cars and light trucks
- With distance-based charges, users should pay for use of the road and the impact caused, but should also benefit





Selected Industry Objections

1. Truckers already pay a lot (or too much) in transportation taxes

Observations:

- Trucks pay a lot in transportation taxes but also cause more damage to roads
- Studies show that most categories of trucks pay less than their cost responsibility, while autos and light trucks pay more (Federal CAS, 1997, 2000; Gupta, 2010)
- Trucks and cars don't cover all direct costs, and few external costs (est. long-term HTF revenues: \$32B/year; required: \$100 B/year (Infrastructure Financing Commission)





2. We have already paid for the Interstate and other highways

- Initial capital and ongoing maintenance costs were paid for
- The system is beyond its 50-year life, and needs to be reconstructed and expanded--this hasn't been paid for
- Original capital cost: \$129 billion (over 30 years); today's reconstruction cost estimate: \$1.3 to \$2.5 trillion (Wilbur-Smith)





3. The industry supports a user fee system such as the fuel tax, and a fair registration fee based on weight, but not a weight-distance tax

- The fuel tax is not a fair user fee system: many categories of trucks pay less than their cost responsibility; and many types of cars pay less than fair share
- A once-a-year truck registration based on weight does not reflect ongoing operations and recurring weight impacts
- Trucks occupy a lot of roadway capacity (size, accel & decel)





4. Fuel efficiency improvements and alternative fuel use apply to autos, not to trucks. Implication: Distance-based charges should apply to autos, not trucks

Observations:

- Schwan Foods (MN) have a fleet of more than 5,000 vehicles that use propane gas as fuel
- Proposed NHTSA fuel-consumption standards for heavy combination tractors for 2017: Range 6.3 to 11.4 gal/1000 ton-miles (depending on roof height and cab class)





5. Fuel taxes work well and are more efficient to collect, administer and enforce. Just increase the fuel tax

Findings of a Humphrey School study comparing fuel taxes and distance-based fees on five transportation funding principles:

Principle	Fuel Taxes	Distance-based
Efficiency	Weak	Strong
Equity	Moderate	Strong
Revenue Adequacy & Sustainability	Moderate	Strong
Environmental Sustainability	Moderate	Moderate
Feasibility (including cost)	Strong	Weak

Comment: Cost is important, but not the only factor to consider





6. Distance-based charge approach would be too costly to implement, operate and enforce

- Will likely be costlier than the fuel tax, but can also correct many shortcomings of the fuel tax
- High cost estimate assumes implementation today, and the need to retrofit vehicles with new and costly technology
- In the 10- to15-year timeframe, most vehicles will be factoryequipped with needed technology, and prices are likely to continue to fall
- Most trucks are equipped with the needed technology





7. Privacy is a concern

Observations:

- Privacy is a bigger issue for autos than for trucks. Fleet owners already use current technology to establish location of trucks
- A bigger issue may be to ensure that information is not divulged to competitors





Germany's Experience With Tolling Heavy Trucks

- Electronic and GPS-based toll system implemented in 2005 for trucks over 26,000 GVW using the Autobahn
- Applies to domestic and foreign trucks (about one-third)
- Charges based on distance, weight, and emissions level Outcomes
- By 2006, experienced 20% decrease in empty truck trips (load consolidation)
- Decrease in high-emission trucks: from 50% of fleet to 36%
- Reliability rate: 99.7%
- Evasion rate: 1.7%





Germany (cont).

- First-year total cost: 25% to 35% of revenues
 Current cost: 10% to 15% of revenues
 Note: Total costs include debt repayment, interest, depreciation, profit, enforcement, maintenance and operations
- Truckers have passed on the toll costs to customers





1. Congestion/Bottlenecks

- Costly in terms of delays, time lost and higher operating expenses
- Annual congestion costs for shippers: \$7 billion (Winston, 2004)
- Annual congestion costs for trucks: \$33.3 billion (439 urban areas, TTI 2010) to \$60 billion (large urbanized areas, Global Insights, 2008)





- Congestion pricing in London, Stockholm and Singapore have reduced congestion by 20% or more (Litman, Robinson, Oh)
- Model simulations with real data show cost savings of 24 cents per-mile (refrigerated dry vans) and 52 cents per mile (less-than-full-load carriers) in urban areas (Global Insights, 2008)
- Cost savings imply willingness-to-pay value





- 2. Travel time reliability/predictability: How high does freight industry value trip-time reliability? Observations:
 - Study of freight industry value of travel time reliability (Fowkes, 2004) found that VOT was highest for:
 - Average valuation: \$1.57/minute (delays resulting from increased trip time for a fixed departure time)
 - Average valuation: \$1.34 per minute (increase in spread of arrival times for a fixed departure time)





3. Reduction in operating expenses

- Time savings and lower congestion can lead to lower operating expenses(fuel & tires) and wear-and-tear (maintenance)
- Could also help reduce driver stress
- Congestion reduction could lead to fewer crashes (Zhou, 1997)
- Industry is likely to see lower inventory and logistics costs if mileage-based fees are used for highway improvements (Winston, 2004)





4. Ability to pass on VMT charges to customers

- Predictability of VMT fees makes it easier to pass on costs
- Congestion-related charges not as predictable, but fee payment records are available
- In time-distance pricing, tolls enter into marginal costs. Implication: Carriers will be able to pass them to receivers (Holguin-Veras, 2009)





5. Better roads: Lead to lower vehicle maintenance costs and less damage to cargo . Question: Are the right roads being improved?

- Perception of roads as "free" results in overuse and congestion—which can lead to inefficient investment to correct overuse (expansion)
- Priced roads that are still congested reflect truer demand: A signal of where to invest that results in better prioritization of system improvements





6. Benefits of better data

- Distance-based charges are a means to accurately collect truck travel data needed to satisfy requirements of the International Fuel Tax Agreement (IFTA) (Sorensen, 2009)
- Additional benefits: Fleet owners are better able to manage their fleet using the distance-based technology infrastructure





Study Status and Next Steps

The study is at its midpoint:

- Literature search has been completed
- Analysis of issues and benefits is underway
- Interviews and discussion sessions are planned with shippers, carriers and receivers (middle July)
- Study will be completed this Fall





For questions and comments, contact: Ferrol Robinson Humphrey School of Public Affairs robin684@umn.edu



